

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,750	BECKER-SZENDY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh-Ha Dang	2163	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Thanh-Ha Dang.

(3) _____.

(2) Samuel A. Kassatly (RN 32,247).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7-12, 16, 19, 21, 24-31, 33 and 36-40.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

T. 22/
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about the potential amendment to the claims in order to allow the case and applicant's representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's Amendment.